



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,063	03/25/2004	Wolfgang Pfeifer	13913-170US1/2001P00030WO	8061
32864 7590 11/15/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER PRICE, NATHAN E	
			ART UNIT 2194	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/15/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/811,063

Applicant(s)

PFEIFER, WOLFGANG

Examiner

Nathan Price

Art Unit

2194

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael E. Cox (Reg. 47,505).

(3) _____.

(2) Nathan Price.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brasher et al. (US 6,895,586 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of claim 1 was discussed. Specifically, using Brasher to reject the two hierarchies of claim 1 was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Attorney's Docket No.: 13913-170US1
Client's Ref. No.: 2001P00030

WOUS

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FACSIMILE:

OFFICIAL FAX NO: (571) 273-8300

FAX TO EXAMINER PRICE (571) 273-4196

Number of pages including this page 2

Applicant : Wolfgang Pfeifer
Serial No. : 10/811,063
Filed : March 25, 2004

Art Unit : 2194
Examiner : Nathan E. Price


Title : Communicating Message with Type, Object and Identifiers from Business
Application to Service Application

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

An Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form dated November 8, 2007 is attached.

Date: November 8, 2007

Respectfully submitted,


Michael E. Cox
Reg. No. 47,505

Fish & Richardson P.C.
1717 Main Street
Suite 5000
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone:
Fax: (214) 747-2091

90246886.doc

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at to arrange for its return. Thank you.

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

SUBSTITUTE PTOL-413A (CS-OS)

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No. : 10/811,063

First Named Applicant: Wolfgang Pfeifer

Examiner: Nathan E. Price Art unit: 2194

Status of Application: Published

Tentative Participants:(1) Nathan Price (Examiner)(2) Michael E. Cox

(3) _____

(4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: November 8, 2007**Proposed Time:** 10:30 EST (AM)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference**Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ YES ☒ NO

if yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed**Issues**
Rej., Obj., etc)**Claims/**
Fig. #s**Prior**
Art**Discussed****Agreed****Not**
Agreed

(1) Cited art

☐☐☐

(2)

☐☐☐

(3)

☐☐☐

(4)

☐☐☐☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**For reasons of clarifying the cited art. Especially, the teachings of the hlarcharies.An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on November 8, 2007.**NOTE:** This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)
Michael E. Cox

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

47,505

Registration Number, if applicable

90246884.doc